

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MICHAEL DEE JAMISON,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
vs.)	CIV-06-48-C
)	
WARDEN MILLER, ¹)	
)	
Respondent.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and supporting affidavit. Having reviewed said motion and affidavit, the undersigned finds that Petitioner has sufficient financial resources to pay the filing fee. Because he does not qualify for authorization to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee, Petitioner's motion should be denied, and he should be required to pay the full filing fee for this action to proceed.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing findings, it is recommended that the motion for leave to

¹The Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma is dismissed as a Respondent. Where the applicant is presently in custody pursuant to the state judgment in question, the state officer having custody of the applicant is the properly named Respondent. Rule 2, Rules Governing §2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. In this case, David Miller, Warden, is the only proper Respondent.

proceed *in forma pauperis* be DENIED and the action be dismissed without prejudice unless Petitioner pays the full filing fee to the Clerk of the Court by February 7, 2006. Petitioner is advised of his right to file an objection to this Report and Recommendation with the Clerk of this Court by February 7, 2006, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and LCvR 72.1. Petitioner is further advised that failure to make timely objection to this Report and Recommendation waives his right to appellate review of both factual and legal issues contained herein. Moore v. United States of America, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991).

This Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED this 18th day of January, 2006.


GARY M. PURCELL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE